# Windchime, Heavy DM Candidates, and QFT in Curved STs

Overview

- \* Experimental Work: *Gravitational Direct Detection of Dark Matter*
- \* Phenomenology Work: *Heavy Dark Matter Candidates for Direct Detection Techniques*
- \* Theory Work: *Evolution of Free Scalar Field in Curved Spacetimes*

### Overview

- Separate Experimental Work: Gravitational Direct Detection of Dark Matter
- ♦ Phenomenology Work: *Heavy Dark Matter Candidates for Direct Detection Techniques*
- \* Theory Work: Evolution of Free Scalar Field in Curved Spacetimes w/ Dr. Daniel Carney

#### Background

- Standard Approach: Attempt to construct a relativistic quantum theory  $\rightarrow$  QFT with a Flat Background
  - Particles arise naturally as an interpretation of the nonzero components of the Fock space states in the n-fold tensor product space  $\overset{n}{\otimes}_{s} \mathcal{H}$
  - In other words, they are irreducible representations of the associated symmetry group (Poincare)
- Drawback: Relies on the unitary equivalence of the field theory regardless of choice of Hilbert Space
- In curved STs, the machinery can still work, but choice of basis is no longer clear and no longer unique
- Remedy: Reformulate the theory using a symplectic structure and an associated vector space basically a phase space representation with a symplectic product and a Poisson Bracket

#### Goal of the Analysis

- Get the energy spectrum of the free particles with different spacetime geometries
  - Analyze what this energy depends on in different regions
- Derive the asymptotic behavior of the scalar fields
  - Determine whether a detector can use information gathered about the evolved state to infer elements of the background geometry via certain behavior observed
- Started with Curvilinear Coordinates, Boosted Frames, & Rindler Coordinates
- Concluded with Static Patch of deSitter Space

#### WF in Boosted Frame





#### Case 0: Flat Space Spherical Coordinates

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = -\frac{1}{2}r^{2}\sin\theta\left(-(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{\varphi})^{2} + (\partial_{r}\boldsymbol{\varphi})^{2} + m^{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\sin\theta\left(\partial_{\theta}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\left(\partial_{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\pi^{2}}{r^{2}\sin\theta} + r^{2}\sin\theta\left((\partial_{r}\boldsymbol{\varphi})^{2} + m^{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{2}\right) + \sin\theta\left(\partial_{\theta}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}\left(\partial_{\varphi}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)^{2}\right] \longrightarrow \hat{D} = \partial_{r}(r^{2}\partial_{r}) - \hat{L}^{2} - r^{2}m^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{H}$$

$$-\partial_{t}^{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \frac{1}{r^{2}}\partial_{r}(r^{2}\partial_{r}\boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \frac{1}{r^{2}\sin\theta}\partial_{\theta}(\sin\theta\left(\partial_{\theta}\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right) + \frac{1}{r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta}\partial_{\varphi}^{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi} - m^{2}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$$

$$\mathcal{H}$$

$$p^{2} = \omega_{p}^{2} - m^{2}$$

$$\mathcal{H}$$

$$\varphi_{p,l,s}\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = R(r)Y_{l}^{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = Ae^{is\varphi}j_{\overline{k}\overline{l}}(pr)P_{\overline{k}\overline{l}}^{s}(\cos\theta)$$

$$|\boldsymbol{\psi}\rangle = \psi_{0}\int d^{3}p \, e^{-i\omega_{p}t}a_{p}^{+}|0\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{H}$$

$$\mathcal{H}(r,\theta,\varphi,t) = \int dp \sum_{l,s} A \, e^{is\varphi}j_{\overline{k}\overline{l}}(pr)P_{\overline{k}\overline{l}}^{s}(\cos\theta) \, \psi_{0}e^{-i\omega_{p}t}$$

#### Free Scalar Field in deSitter Spacetime







#### Overview

- Sexperimental Work: Gravitational Direct Detection of Dark Matter
- Phenomenology Work: Heavy Dark Matter Candidates for Direct Detection Techniques w/Dr. Carlos Blanco
- ♦ Theory Work: Evolution of Free Scalar Field in Curved Spacetimes

## Overview of Gravitational Detection Method

♦ Long-term Goal: Detect Dark Matter directly using gravitational Interactions

♦ Uses accelerometers jerked by their interaction with the Dark Matter

## 

- ♦ Current Challenge: Noise
- For a Dark Matter particle detection, it is estimated that some 10<sup>9</sup> sensors *in the path* of the particle are required to have a significant Detection
- Test Statistic: Signal-to-Noise Ratio
   Bahaa Elshimy

#### Physical Experiment: Protochime

#### Virtual Experiment



## What Kinds of Particles Do We Expect?



Bahaa Elshimy

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/TASI-lectures-on-dark-matter-models-and-direct-Lin/2a5d193da5550a0396c63304fd2b192750f23610

## My Focus



#### My Focus

- Composite Dark Matter
  - OM Candidates composed of constituent particles
- - Soliton solutions that admit a charge and allow for an energetically favorable massive state
- Superheavy Dark Matter Candidates
  - e.g. Extremal and "standard" PBH Relics and Gravitationally Produced particles (such as WIMPZILLAs)
- ♦ Flux Factor for a given candidate (mass) observed at a specific exposure and SNR

#### Q-Balls

Type I - Thin-walled: The VeV is set close to the  $\varphi_0$  of the scalar field

- ♦ Type II Thick-walled: Gauge mediated field configuration with a flat potential
- ♦ Type III Thick-walled: Same as Type II but with a logarithmic potential



#### Multicandidate Flux Factor



Overview

- \* Experimental Work: Gravitational Direct Detection of Dark Matter w/ Dr. Rafael Lang
- Solution Phenomenology Work: Heavy Dark Matter Candidates for Direct Detection Techniques
- ♦ Theory Work: Evolution of Free Scalar Field in Curved Spacetimes

### Acceleration Data





## Analysis Framework







## Template Analysis Parameters

## $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{t}_{entry} \quad \mathbf{v}_{exit} \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_0 \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_1 \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_1 \\ \mathbf{1} \end{array}$

## Time Analysis



### Velocity Analysis



## Angular Analysis



#### Time & Velocity Analysis



## Resolution of the Windchime Detector

Capacity to distinguish between different tracks in the detector

♦ Smaller Resolution = Better Detector

♦ Defined through the uncertainty of the track parameters caused by sensor measurement errors

- ♦ Temporal Resolution Depends on the Exposure Time
- ♦ Spatial Resolution Depends on the Detector Geometry



## Sensor Uncertainty



## Spatial Resolution Model in 3D



Some of the Results

1014 ---- 1.000000e+00 \* x^(6) 100 1010 •• 000 ø  $10^{6}$ 10-1 Resolution (Rad) 10<sup>2</sup> SNR 10-2 10-2 10-6 10-10 10-3  $10^{-14}$ 101 100  $10^{-1}$ Side Length (m)

Constant Number of Sensors 3D Analysis

Bahaa Elshimy

31

#### Some of the Results

 $1.5 \times 10^{1}$ -- 1.000000e+00 \* x^(4.5) = 7.000000e-05 \* x^(0) 108  $1.4 \times 10^3$  $10^{4}$ Resolution (Rad)  $1.3 \times 10^{10}$ 100 SNR  $10^{-4}$  $1.2 \times 10^{1}$ and the second second  $10^{-8}$  $1.1 \times 10^{2}$ 10-12  $10^{-16}$ 10-2  $10^{-1}$ Sensor Separation (m)

Constant SNR and Constant Side Length 2D Analysis

32

### Trial Factor

♦ A measure of how likely it is to have a *False Positive* detection purely given the size of the parameter space

Smaller (better) Resolution = Larger Template (parameter) Space = Larger Trial Factor



For a specific given detector setup, this takes the detection significance threshold from an *SNR of 3* to an *SNR of 10* 

The State of Dark Matter Detection is Going Gravitational!



#### Thank You!

#### References

- Gravitational Direct Detection of Dark Matter https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.00492.pdf
- Snowmass 2021 White Paper: The Windchime Project https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.07242.pdf
- Models of ultra-heavy dark matter visible to macroscopic mechanical sensing arrays -https://arxiv.org/pdf/2112.14784.pdf